

## Solutions to Problem Set 7.

June 6, 2004

### 11.14(a)(b)

(a) We will use Eqs.(11.137),(11.138), (11.140), together with

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ -B_x & 0 & E_z & -E_y \\ -B_y & -E_z & 0 & E_x \\ -B_z & E_y & -E_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The solution is now a matter of simply writing down the various contractions explicitly. In particular,

$$F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = -\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} + \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} = 2(B^2 - E^2) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = -\vec{B} \cdot \vec{E} - \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{B} \cdot \vec{E} = -4\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} = -\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} + \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} - \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} = 2(E^2 - B^2). \quad (4)$$

There are no other quadratic invariants – we have constructed all the possible nontrivial contractions of two field strength tensors. In particular, notice that  $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = F^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ .

(b) Here we use the invariants that we found in (a). In particular,  $E^2 - B^2$  is invariant, so if we have fields  $\vec{E} = 0$  and  $\vec{B}$  in one frame and fields  $\vec{E}'$  and  $\vec{B}' = 0$  in another, we must have

$$-B^2 = E^2 - B^2 = (E')^2 - (B')^2 = (E')^2, \quad (5)$$

which is impossible unless  $B = 0$  and  $E' = 0$ , since both  $B^2$  and  $(E')^2$  are nonnegative.

For the second part, we would like to find the criteria on  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  which will guarantee the existence of a frame in which the electric field is zero. Again, we appeal to the invariants found in (a).

In particular, we know that  $E^2 - B^2$  and  $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$  are invariant. So, if there is some frame in which  $\vec{E}' = 0$ , we must have

$$\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = \vec{E}' \cdot \vec{B}' = 0 \quad (6)$$

and

$$E^2 - B^2 = 0 - (B')^2 = -(B')^2. \quad (7)$$

Thus, the condition is that  $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  and  $E^2 - B^2 < 0$ . Since  $E^2 - B^2$  and  $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$  are the *only* invariants of  $\vec{B}$  and  $\vec{E}$ , if these conditions are satisfied, there *must* be a frame in which the electric field is zero.

## 11.20

(a) By conservation of 4-momentum,

$$p_\Lambda = p_1 + p_2, \quad (8)$$

where  $p_\Lambda, p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the 4-momenta of the  $\Lambda$ -particle, the nucleon and the pi-meson, respectively. Squaring each side gives

$$M^2 = p_\Lambda^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = p_1^2 + 2p_1 \cdot p_2 + p_2^2 = m_1^2 + 2(E_1 E_2 - \vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2) + m_2^2 \quad (9)$$

$$= m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1 E_2 - 2|\vec{p}_1||\vec{p}_2|\cos(\theta). \quad (10)$$

(b) How far on average will the  $\Lambda$  travel before decaying? Well, it has energy  $10\text{GeV}$  and mass  $1.115\text{GeV}$ , so  $\gamma = \frac{E}{m} = 8.97$  and  $v = .9938c$ . Its average lifetime in its rest frame is  $2.9 \times 10^{-10}$  seconds, so in the lab frame its average lifetime is  $\gamma 2.9 \times 10^{-10} = 2.6 \times 10^{-9}s$ , which means it travels on average  $2.6 \times 10^{-9}s \times .9938c = 0.775m$  before decaying.

What is the range of possible angles?

Conservation of 4-momentum requires  $p_1 + p_2 = (M, 0, 0, 0)$  in the rest frame of the  $\Lambda$  particle. Writing out the components explicitly and considering the case when the outgoing particles travel in the  $x$  direction (perpendicular to the initial direction of the  $\Lambda$ ) gives

$$m_1\gamma_1 + m_2\gamma_2 = M \text{ and} \quad (11)$$

$$m_1\gamma_1v_1 + m_2\gamma_2v_2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

which, upon using the identity  $1 + v^2\gamma^2 = \gamma^2$  and letting  $E_1 = m_1\gamma_1$  and  $E_2 = m_2\gamma_2$  implies

$$E_1 + E_2 = M \quad (13)$$

$$m_1^2(\gamma_1^2 - 1) = m_2^2(\gamma_2^2 - 1). \quad (14)$$

The second equation can be simplified to

$$E_1^2 - E_2^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2. \quad (15)$$

Substituting  $E_2 = M - E_1$  into this equation gives

$$E_1^2 - (M - E_1)^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2, \quad (16)$$

which implies

$$E_1 = \frac{M^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2M} = 944 \text{ MeV} \Rightarrow \gamma_1 = \frac{944}{939} = 1.005. \quad (17)$$

Thus,

$$E_2 = 1115 - 944 = 171 \text{ MeV} \Rightarrow \gamma_2 = \frac{171}{140} = 1.22. \quad (18)$$

This allows us to find the magnitudes of the 3-momenta:

$$|\vec{p}_1| = |\vec{p}_2| = m_2v_2\gamma_2 = m_2\sqrt{\gamma_2^2 - 1} = 98.2 \text{ MeV}. \quad (19)$$

Now we apply the result of part (a), which implies

$$E_1E_2 - |\vec{p}_1||\vec{p}_2|\cos\phi = E'_1E'_2 - |\vec{p}'_1||\vec{p}'_2|\cos\theta, \quad (20)$$

where the primed quantities are measured in the lab frame. We know that  $\phi$  is  $\pi$  and would like to know the range of values of  $\theta$ . The left hand side of the equation is

$$E_1E_2 + |\vec{p}_1||\vec{p}_2| = 161424 + 9643(\text{MeV})^2 = 171067(\text{MeV})^2. \quad (21)$$

Since the particles are highly relativistic in the lab frame, the right hand side is well approximated by

$$m_1 m_2 \gamma^2 - m_1 m_2 (\gamma^2 - 1) \cos \theta = 1.058 \times 10^7 - 1.045 \times 10^7 \cos \theta, \quad (22)$$

which implies

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1.058 \times 10^7 - 1.71 \times 10^5}{1.045 \times 10^7} = 0.9961. \quad (23)$$

Alternatively, we could use our estimate  $E'_1 E'_2 - |\vec{p}'_1| |\vec{p}'_2| \cos \theta \approx m_1 m_2 \gamma^2 - m_1 m_2 (\gamma^2 - 1) \cos \theta$  directly with the result of (a) to get the same result without any need to assume the particles move in the  $x$  direction in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame.

Thus, for the case where the outgoing particles move perpendicular to the  $\Lambda$ 's initial direction, the angle between the two particles in the lab frame is  $\cos^{-1}(0.9961) = 0.088$  radians (or 5.1 degrees). If the outgoing particles move in any other direction, the result will be a smaller angle.

## 11.22

Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be the 4-momenta of the initial state photons, and  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be the 4-momenta of the outgoing electron-positron pair. From the 4-momentum conservation law,

$$(k_1 + k_2)^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \quad (24)$$

Rewritten in the 3 + 1-dimensional form, the right hand side becomes

$$\left( \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}_1^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}_2^2} \right)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2 = 2m^2 + 2\sqrt{(m^2 + \vec{p}_1^2)(m^2 + \vec{p}_2^2)} - 2(\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2)$$

where  $m$  is the electron mass.

The above function is always greater than  $4m^2$  (and attains this minimal value for  $\vec{p}_1 = \vec{p}_2 = 0$ ). For that reason, the equation (24) will have solutions if and only if  $(k_1 + k_2)^2 \geq 4m^2$ . For  $k_1 = E_1(1, \vec{n}_1)$  and  $k_2 = E_2(1, \vec{n}_2)$  (with  $\vec{n}_1$  and  $\vec{n}_2$  being unit vectors),

$$(k_1 + k_2)^2 = (E_1 + E_2)^2 - (E_1 \vec{n}_1 + E_2 \vec{n}_2)^2 = 2E_1 E_2 (1 - (\vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2)) \quad (25)$$

Given the energy values, the reaction will occur if  $\vec{n}_1$  and  $\vec{n}_2$  can be chosen so as to make (25) greater than  $4m^2$ . The maximal value (25) can attain if we're allowed to adjust  $\vec{n}_1$  and  $\vec{n}_2$  is  $4E_1E_2$ . The threshold condition thus becomes

$$E_1E_2 \geq m^2$$

For part (a), this will give a photon energy of

$$(5 \times 10^5 eV)^2 / (2.5 \times 10^{-4} eV) = 10^{15} eV$$

For part (b),

$$(5 \times 10^5 eV)^2 / (5 \times 10^2 eV) = 5 \times 10^8 eV$$