CCAT Polarimetry Technique

Darren Dowell JPL December 13, 2006

Why were we thinking of doing polarimetry with CCAT?

- magnetic fields in the envelopes of young stellar objects, which test models for the formation of individual stars (resolved out by ALMA?)
- polarization maps of clouds with thousands of vectors in them, which test models of turbulence (resolved out by ALMA)
- polarization variability at 200 μm and 350 μm in Sgr A*, which is sensitive to jets and accreting plasma very near the event horizon (higher frequency than ALMA)
- magnetic field patterns in galaxies 10-100 Mpc away (resolved out by ALMA?)
- polarization of more distant objects, which help quantify the contamination in lower-resolution CMB polarization measurements (faster surveying than with ALMA?)























What makes the most sense for CCAT?

- Assumptions:
 - No funds for a dedicated polarimeter at the start; instead converting the cameras.
 - Not a lot of room to redirect wide, low f/ # beams through a reflective system.
- Baseline plan: transmissive single-polarization polarimeter
 - wire-grid polarizer parallel to camera window (undetected polarization reflected into cold dewar)
 - crystal quartz mosaic HWP, polyethylene AR coating
 - rotation at a few Hz
 - λ = 200 μm : 2 mm thick λ = 2000 μm: 2 cm thick
 - Ideally, the HWP would be near a pupil (Gonatas et al. 1989). If not, will need to check for systematic effects.
- Other plans worth considering:
 - Some detector designs or camera configurations could be dual polarization.
 - stepped HWP
 - maximum sensitivity for small fields
 - If there is room, the variable delay modulators could be used instead in a
 - reflective system.
 - In principle, can be tuned over a wide band.



